



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,457	07/23/2003	Rami Ghandour	20022-7001	9142
35939	7590 01/23/2006		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL E. WOODS			MIZRAHI, DIANE D	
PATENT LA	W OFFICES OF MICHAEI	L E. WOODS		
112 BARN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TIBURON, CA 94920-2602			2165	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/625,457	GHANDOUR, RAMI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,— .	— s action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	·						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The path of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer, Note the attached C	of the Action of form PTO-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>Notice of Preferences Cited (170-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2165

#### III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-33 are presented for examination and are pending.

#### Drawings

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on July 23, 2006 are acceptable for examination proceedings. These are informal drawings.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005; which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)...

Application/Control Number: 10/625,457 Page 3

Art Unit: 2165

a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(<u>Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility</u>

<a href="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101\_2005\_1026.pdf">http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Fguidelines101\_2005\_1026.pdf</a>)

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of a data structure is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Therefore, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Art Unit: 2165

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reuven Bakalash et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0029207 A1 and Bakalash hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 1, Bakalash teaches a plurality of resources, each resource having a plurality of associated orthogonal resource material categories[0015]; and a resource controller, coupled to said plurality of resources, for grouping a subset of said plurality of resources having matching values for all of said associated orthogonal resource material categories [0024][0027].

Regarding Claim 2, Bakalash teaches a number of said

Application/Control Number: 10/625,457

Art Unit: 2165

plurality of associated orthogonal resource material categories is equal to three [Figures 2B; 3C2-3C3].

Regarding Claim 3, Bakalash teaches plurality of resources include electronic documents distributed over one or more coupled computing systems [0169-0176] [Figures 1B and Figure 6A].

Regarding Claim 4, Bakalash teaches orthogonal resource material categories include a subject identity category, an object identity category, and a context category

[0123] [0061] [Figure 3C1].

Regarding Claim 5, Bakalash a display for presenting said subset of said plurality of resources [Figure 1A].

Regarding Claim 6, Bakalash a display for presenting a particular one of plurality of resources from said subset of resources and presenting a reference to one or more other resources from said subset of resources [0063].

Regarding Claim 7, Bakalash teaches one or more resource gateways, each resource gateway defining a second subset of said plurality of resources having matching values for less than all

Application/Control Number: 10/625,457

Art Unit: 2165

of said orthogonal resource categories [0027] [Figure 3C1] [Figure 1A].

Regarding Claims 8-10, Bakalash plurality of resources additionally includes at least one associated non-orthogonal resource material category ... [Figure 1A] [0134] [0177].

Regarding Claims 9-33, the limitations of these claims is similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected as set forth above.

### Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html</a> or 1-866-217-9197.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

January 16, 2005